UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,163	01/24/2004	Amanda K. Bridges	2003-0667.02	8163
21972 7590 02/03/2009 LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD			EXAMINER	
			CHEEMA, UMAR	
740 WEST NEV BLDG. 082-1	W CIRCLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LEXINGTON,	LEXINGTON, KY 40550-0999		2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/764,163	BRIDGES ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	UMAR CHEEMA	2444		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
This application is abandoned in view of:		•		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-		
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated		
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	a of \$ is due			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		·		
2 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings on regu	ired by and within the three menth n	paried act in the Nation of		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 	•			
after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review		
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:				
Examiner called Applicant's Attorney Christine Gardhas been received.	ia (Reg. No. 41027) and left a me	essage, however no response		
/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to		